

# United States Patent and Trademark Office ·

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,807	01/03/2002	Michael J. FAWCETT	H053310.0000US	6737
7.	590 03/31/2003			
John F Luman III Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld 711 Louisiana Suite 1900			EXAMINER	
			GILMAN, AL	GILMAN, ALEXANDER
Houston, TX	77002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				TALER NOMBER
		•	2833	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,		
Office Action Summan	09/890,807	FAWCETT ET AL.	M		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Gilman	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	orr spond nc addr ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u>	october 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,12</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		and the second s			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>		

Application/Control Number: 09/890,807

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 15, phrase "for locking the or each contact holder ..." is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bac.

Bac (US 3,360,764) discloses a connector comprising (Fig. 1, 2):

first (A) and second (B) connector elements,

the first connector element (A) supports a first contact (13),

a displaceable (in a casing 3) contact holder (15, 21), carrying second (25) and third (26) contacts, wherein

on interengagement the contact holder is displaced from first (Fig. 1) to second (Fig. 2) position after the third contact (26) is interconnected with a contact (36) of the other connector element (col. 6, lines 23-42);

on disengagement the first and second contacts separate before the third contact is separated (col. 6, lines 49-59);

the first contacts and second contacts, when separated, are located within a chamber (Fig. 1),

means for locking the contact holder to the other contact element (col. 7, lines 12-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,807

Art Unit: 2833

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 6-8, and 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bac.

With regard to claim 2, Bac discloses all of the limitations except for both connector elements having the contact holder for interconnection the first, second and third contacts.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide both connector elements having the contact holder for interconnection the first, second and third contacts, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

With regard to claims 3, 4, 11, and 12, Bac discloses the structural features which can be used to prevent the holder being blown out of the associated connector element – pin (19) on the contact holder and a slot (20) in the body of connector element.

To locate the pin and the slot in reversed order (as claimed) and provide the slot with the closed end (to prevent removing the holder from the connector element) would have been an obvious matter of design absent any criticality and since the function performed by the elements is the same.

With regard to claims 6-9, Bac discloses all of the limitations except for the locking means applied to the connector element (A) with the first, second, and third contacts.

Bac discloses (Fig. 3) the locking means applied to the connector element (B):

the connector balls (140) between the connector element (105) and the contact holder (128, 131) (claim 6);

means for locking including balls (140) and a spring-biased slider (155) (claims 7-9).

Art Unit: 2833

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the connector element A with the locking means, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/02/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to claim 1, Applicant argues that the prior art (Bac) fails to disclose that the first and second contacts becoming interconnected after the third contact is connected to a contact of another connector.

Also, Applicant argues that disconnection in Bac will not be in claimed oder.

As it was shown in the Office rejections, Bac discloses (col. 6, lines 23-42) the claimed order of connection. According to Bac, the third contact (26) is engaged with the contact (36) of another connector (B) when collar (40) touches the wall (2). Movement of the block (21) with the embedded contacts (26) toward the first contact (13) can start only when the portion (29) will strike against collar (16). The subsequent movement of the block (21) with the third contact (26) and the contact (36) already engaged will lead to engagement of the first contact (13) with the second contact (25).

Analogously, Bac (col. 6, lines 49-59) discloses the reversed order of disengagement -- the first and second contacts separate before the third contact is separated. Hence, the rejection deems to be proper.

Also, Applicant argues that the Bac's connector and the claimed connector are concerned with the solution very different problems.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). In this case, Bac discloses the same structural components and the same order of engagement and disengagement of the connectors as it claimed in claim 1.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Gilman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Alexander Gilman

March 22, 2003



Application/Control Number: 09/890,807

Art Unit: 2833